#### **REPORT TO EXECUTIVE**

Date of Meeting: 4th June 2024

Report of: Director Finance

Title: Review of the Corporate Risk Register

#### Is this a Key Decision?

No

#### Is this an Executive or Council Function?

Risk management is a Council function.

Risk Management is an important element of the council's Code of Corporate Governance.

Regular monitoring of the council's corporate risks helps to ensure that the council's business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for and used economically, efficiently, and effectively.

## 1. What is the report about?

1.1 The report advises the committee of the council's risk management progress and presents the revised Corporate Risk Register (Appendix A), which has been linked to the Council's Strategic Priorities.

#### 2. Recommendations:

2.1 That the Executive proposes to Council any necessary actions to help mitigate the risks for which it is responsible.

#### 3. Reasons for the recommendation:

3.1 To ensure that the risks associated with meeting the Council's strategic priorities are properly considered, managed, and monitored.

## 4. What are the resource implications including non financial resources

- 4.1 The Executive and, Directors and Senior Managers, as appropriate, are asked to review the Corporate Risk Register on a quarterly basis. The register is reviewed quarterly by the Strategic Management Board.
- 4.2 Any actions agreed to mitigate the risks identified in the Corporate Risk Register may result in some resource implication. These would be subject to a specific report and the resource implications would be considered in that report.

#### 5. Section 151 Officer comments:

5.1 SMB and the Executive have produced an agreed new register based on the Councils Corporate Priorities. A new summary page has been added setting out the level of intervention required and the impact of failing to address the risk. The risk in relation to

finance has been updated to reflect the fact that a balanced budget has been set for 2024-25. This does not mitigate the longer term associated risks but carries the risk forward.

## 6. What are the legal aspects?

6.1 None identified.

### 7. Monitoring Officer's comments:

7.1 The risk register identifies for members attention the overall risk to the Council in order that this can be understood and managed proactively, optimising success by minimising threats.

## 8. Report details:

8.1 In light of a review of the council's approach to risk management, the Corporate Risk Register (Appendix A) has been revised following a workshop facilitated by the Council's insurers, Zurich. The risks identified in the revised Corporate Risk Register link to the Council's Strategic Priorities. As part of the Council's Improvement Programme, the Strategic Management Board has reviewed the council's approach to risk and propose that the Corporate Risk Register should be presented to Executive to provide assurance that the appropriate mitigations are in place. The council's Audit & Governance Committee will continue to have a role in ensuring that the council has a robust process in place for identifying and mitigating risks.

8.2 Each risk is assessed against the following matrix, assessing the likelihood and impact before and after mitigation.

<b>†</b>	4	4	8	12	16
Likelihood —	3	3	6	9	12
	2	2	4	6	8
	1	1	2	3	4
		1	2	3	4
		Impact ——			<b></b>

- 8.3 The Risk Register includes a summary page, which enables Members to see the scope of the corporate risks in one straightforward table.
- 8.4 Alongside each risk on the summary page, there is a table, which sets out an assessment of the resources required to manage the risks under the themes of Time, Financial, People and Assets. This will allow for an assessment of the resources required to mitigate each risk to an acceptable level allowing members to determine if the resources required are deliverable and achievable.
- 8.5 In addition, the summary page includes an assessment of how the risks will affect a range of drivers. The drivers are set out below and look at the impact of failing to deliver the corporate priorities and failure in each of the four pillars.

Political	Financial	Reputational	Regulatory	Legal	Compliance	Community
-----------	-----------	--------------	------------	-------	------------	-----------

- 8.6 The two tables above use Very high, High, Medium, Low and Very Low to assess the resources required and the risk drivers.
- 8.7 The Final Column will assess the Council's risk appetite, whether it is open to risk, more cautious or even risk averse.

#### 9. How does the decision contribute to the Council's Corporate Plan?

9.1 Good governance contributes to the Council's purpose of a "Well Run Council."

## 10. What risks are there and how can they be reduced?

10.1 N/A

## 11. Equality Act 2010 (The Act)

- 11.1 Under the Act's Public Sector Equalities Duty, decision makers are required to consider the need to:
- eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other prohibited conduct;
- advance equality by encouraging participation, removing disadvantage, taking account of disabilities and meeting people's needs; and
- foster good relations between people by tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.
- 11.2 In order to comply with the general duty authorities must assess the impact on equality of decisions, policies, and practices. These duties do not prevent the authority from reducing services where necessary, but they offer a way of developing proposals that consider the impacts on all members of the community.
- 11.3 In making decisions the authority must take into account the potential impact of that decision in relation to age, disability, race/ethnicity (includes Gypsies and Travellers), sex and gender, gender identity, religion and belief, sexual orientation, pregnant women and new and breastfeeding mothers, marriage, and civil partnership status in coming to a decision.
- 11.4 In recommending this proposal no potential impact has been identified on people with protected characteristics as determined by the Act because the report is for information only.

## 12. Carbon Footprint (Environmental) Implications:

- 12.1 No direct carbon/environmental impacts arising from the recommendations.
- 12.2 Actions to mitigate the risks identified in the Corporate Risk Register may result in some future impact, however, any actions proposed would be subject to a specific report and the impacts would be considered in that report.

# 13. Are there any other options?

13.1 N/A

# **Director Finance, Dave Hodgson**

Author: Lorraine Betts, Organisational Transformation Programme Lead

# Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1972 (as amended)

Background papers used in compiling this report:-

None

Contact for enquires: Democratic Services (Committees) Room 4.36 01392 265275